

1 GEOFFREY A. HANSEN
2 Federal Public Defender
3 SHAWN HALBERT
4 Assistant Federal Public Defender
5 450 Golden Gate Avenue
6 San Francisco, CA 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7700

5 | Counsel for Defendant Jose Escalera-Aguilera aka Pablo Perez-Anguiano

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1
2
3
4
5
6

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
JOSE ESCALERA-AGUILERA aka PABLO
PEREZ-ANGUIANO,
Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
No. CR-12-0090 RS
CR-12-0156 RS
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
DATE FROM AUGUST 28, 2012 TO
OCTOBER 9, 2012 AND EXCLUDING
ADDITIONAL TIME FROM AUGUST
28, 2012 TO OCTOBER 9, 2012
)
)

STIPULATION

19 The Court previously referred defendant for a competency hearing. With the stipulation of the
20 parties, U.S. Pretrial Services has selected an evaluator who will evaluate defendant by mid-
21 September. The parties thus propose that the matter be continued to October 9, 2012. The parties
22 also agree that the time between August 28, 2012 and October 9, 2012 should be excluded under the
23 Speedy Trial Act in order to allow the competency examination to proceed and for effective
24 preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A) and (h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of
25 justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
26 defendant in a speedy trial.

1 SO STIPULATED.
2
3

Dated: 8/27/12

4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney
5
6

/s/
J. MARK KANG
Special Assistant United States Attorney
7
8

Dated: 8/27/12

/s/
SHAWN HALBERT
Attorney for Defendant
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above, the next status date shall be continued from August 28, 2012 to
3 October 9, 2012 at 2:30 p.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between August 28, 2012 and October 9, 2012 should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act in order to allow the competency examination to proceed and for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A) and (h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

0 || SO ORDERED.

3 || DATED: 8/27/12


THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge